

Bridging SCBA



a monthly publication by the singapore contract bridge association

Sept 2007 • 15 pages

Let's promote bridge!

September's issue is out a bit early, because yours truly is off to attend a friend's wedding in... drum roll... Hawaii! (though I'm dreading the 20+ hour flight with 2 kids and an airsick-prone hubby). Love must be in the air, as our community partner, SDU, asked us to promote their 'Cheers on Wheels' car rally, targeted at singles. This is an island-wide treasure hunt, held on 1 Sept, which looks to be almost as fun as our Singapore IMP Pairs game, held on the same day. So if you're single and feel like driving around Singapore, instead of being at the bridge table, visit

<http://www.romancingsingapore.com/rally/>

Anyway, back to Bridging SCBA... This issue focuses on promoting bridge in Singapore. 'Let's Chat' shines the spotlight on new committee member, Derek Maggs, who is in the Marketing, Publicity and Fundraising subcommittee. Last month's bridge scene was dominated with interesting competitions, with the 3-day Pesta

Sukan, which attracted a number of international players, our patriotic National Day special game and the Kepri Cup held in Batam. Because of this, we have received excellent contributions to 'Mind Gym'. So go ahead and be a couch potato – but exercise your brain!

A big thanks to our regular Bridging SCBA contributors*, who are constantly on the look out for unusual hands or topics to share. To make it easier for everyone to contribute articles, we will be placing "Share a hand" sheets at the SCBA clubhouse (see page 11). If you feel inspired (or frustrated) by a particular play, defence or bidding, please share it with us. You can pass the sheets to Lilio at the clubhouse, or scan and email it to me at yuilin@starhub.net.sg

As always, looking forward to your feedback! ♣

Yiu Lin
Editor Bridging SCBA



Singapore IMP Pairs

Sat 1 Sept
2.30-11.00pm

Matchpoint Teams Championships

Sat 15 Sept
2.30-11.00pm

See Events calendar for details

2007 Selangor Congress

Royal Lake Club, KL

Sat 8 Sept Pairs

9.30am - 6.30pm

Sun 9 Sept Teams

9.30am – 30pm

For more details visit
www.mcba.org.my

*Disclaimer: Contributed articles are the opinions of the writers and do not represent the views of the SCBA committee

...with Derek Maggs

Derek recently moved to Singapore, and SCBA is lucky to have him on the committee.

What will the Marketing and Publicity subcommittee be focusing on this year?

It is an honour to be able to contribute to the bridge scene in Singapore through my new role on the committee. Having derived so much pleasure from the game, both here and elsewhere, it is good to be able to put something back for other generations. My maxim in this regard is that only by giving can one truly receive. It seems to me that the committee has been well run and that the Singapore Sports Council (SSC) has provided a focus for our improvement as an organisation. Our ability to support and develop the game in Singapore for our members, whilst reaching out to the community, needs time, effort and financing. The time and effort mostly comes from unpaid volunteers. Our financing comes from several sources, including the SSC. SSC has shown us ways, and given some clear goals, for our improvement and linked this to formal measures of progress, such as numbers of members and sessions of bridge. Our funding from SSC will be based on our effectiveness in achieving such goals. Because of this, we are in the process of

recruiting someone to execute and develop our marketing plans. Last year, SSC substantially increased their support for bridge in Singapore and we hope to sustain or even enhance this next year. Some of the specific initiatives will focus on extending the 'Bridging Singapore' strategy that targets the young, singles and elderly community groups. You will see more about this, as our ability to execute improves in the next 3-4 months. To summarise, we have two main objectives:

- 1) to increase members' participation in our events and;
- 2) to increase membership.

To this end, I urge YOU to play your part and assist in both attendance and encouragement to new members.

When you're not playing bridge, what are you doing?

Not long ago, the answer would have been "thinking or reading about bridge". That is less true nowadays as work, as a logistics consultant for IBM, takes up most of my time. Hopefully this will ease soon and I will be able to focus more energy on developing bridge in Singapore. I have 2 grandchildren who are a real blessing. Since they are in Edinburgh, I miss them madly, so the Skype phone calls are essential. I occasionally play on BBO but prefer face to face interaction.



I also try to keep fit with jogging, cycling and swimming (not all at once), though, my wife Alison is an athlete, so keeping up is a struggle. Alison and I are regular church-goers and I often wonder how to address the conflict of bridge and church. Not just because of playing on Sundays, but also the way that bridge becomes an addiction for many, including me some years back. I'm still thinking about this and would welcome any comments.


What are your pet peeves at the bridge table?

I used to have plenty but as you get older you realise the world is full of intolerance and adding to it is just multiplying negativity that nobody needs. So mostly, I get peeved at myself when I display intolerance to my long-suffering partners... Oh and when someone slams their cards down triumphantly. Slainte mhath! ☺ ♣

SCRAMBLED 2NT LEADS TO EGGS ON FACE By Derek Maggs

Donkey expected resistance when he asked Lamb to partner him for the Pesta Sukan open pairs, especially as somebody had written up his bidding and play misdemeanours from a few weeks back. In any event, he could not stay away from the game any more than he could resist a parfait or coconut soufflé. “What system do you bray?” asked Donkey. Lamb replied that she preferred a simple version of 2/1. Donkey thought this sounded like a simple fraction equating to 2, but rather than display ignorance, gathered a small cup cake between his fore hooves and looked at the following board:

Feeling partner is likely to have 7 or more cards in the minors, Donkey decided to guess the best minor. How to decide? The resulting guess was in line with his usual ability in that department. Due to a defensive error, 3 clubs only went down 2, non-vulnerable, losing 100, which was not terrible as 2♥ makes. 3♦ would have been better though.



So Lamb and Donkey discussed the scrambling 2NT bid, as they headed for the next table. This discussion was more like an egg-nog than scrambled egg by the time board 11 arrived.:
 Dealer South, love all (good advice!)

Donkey	N	Lamb	S
			1♠
P	P	1NT	Dbl
P	2♠	P	P
3♣			

Nightmare... What was all that talk of omelettes or was it scrambled eggs? Could 2NT be natural or scrambling? This time, Donkey decided to choose his better minor and 3♣ became the final contract by West. The diamond lead led to a swift 3 down and virtually no match points. Lamb pointed out that 2NT ought to be scrambling here too. 3♦ by East, has a fair chance of escaping for down 1 - a good score!

Donkey slipped on the nosebag to hide his embarrassment – at this rate none of the farm animals would come to his stall for a game... ♣

	♠ 32	
	♥ AKJ	
	♦ 754	
Donkey	♣ AJ865	Lamb
♠ K876		♠ A95
♥ 7		♥ Q943
♦ KJ93		♦ AQ82
♣ 7432		♣ Q9
	♠ QJ104	
	♥ 108652	
	♦ 106	
	♣ K10	

	♠ 962	
	♥ A9653	
	♦ 9	
Donkey	♣ 8763	Lamb
♠ 107		♠ QJ5
♥ KJ8		♥ Q7
♦ J842		♦ K10765
♣ Q1092		♣ A54
	♠ AK843	
	♥ 1042	
	♦ AQ3	
	♣ KJ	

Donkey	N	Lamb	S
P	1NT(12-14)	X(15+)	2♥(heart + spade)
3♣	All pass		

SOLUTION TO AUG QUIZ

by LL Wong, the Bridge Guru

Thanks to Mr. Zain Moledina for his effort in solving July's puzzle. He identified the crucial point of the trump break and positioning of the HK. However, he ignored the double, which led to an incomplete conclusion. Remember: respect the opponent's actions. That double was the only one at the tables out of 12 possible 4S contracts.

As for August's quiz, the contract is 6♦ with ♦2 lead

♠ A952	
♥ AK643	
♦ AK9	
♣ 9	
♠ J743	♠ K108
♥ 85	♥ QJ109
♦ 7542	♦ 103
♣ 1065	♣ QJ73
	♠ Q6
	♥ 72
	♦ QJ86
	♣ AK842

The uniqueness of this squeeze is that it is a step squeeze, requiring extra length in both ♥ and ♣ to succeed with the combination of entry management and card reading of trumps.

The winning line is to play A♦, A♣, then ruff with ♦K and draw trumps, discarding two spades in dummy. East is squeezed as he must make 2 discards. If he throws a club, declarer's clubs are good. If he throws two spades, declarer's QS is promoted. If he throws a spade and a heart, dummy's hearts are promoted – Declarer plays dummy's top hearts and exits small heart to East. East is end-played. If he returns a club, declarer can win in hand, throwing dummy's low spade. A spade return allows the QS to win a trick. The actual hand was taken from the Vu graph in recent tournament on BBO (thanks to Mr Zhu Junyi for the hand). Though I had amended it slightly for educational purposes. The real hand was:

♠ A952	
♥ AKJ43	
♦ AK9	
♣ 9	
♠ J743	♠ K10
♥ 85	♥ Q1096
♦ 7542	♦ 103
♣ 1065	♣ QJ872
	♠ Q76
	♥ 72
	♦ QJ86
	♣ AK43

The solution for the real hand is similar to the one I described earlier. East will be squeezed. But it is not easy to perform this at the table because of the card reading and the assumption of placing of cards. Will you play it this way at the table or just a simple finesse in heart and hope for 3-3 break?

The second hand is a devil of a hand for both declarers and defenders. Contract 3NT. Lead is S3

♠ QJ8	
♥ 76	
♦ AQ875	
♣ 875	
♠ K10532	♠ 94
♥ K3	♥ AJ1052
♦ 10432	♦ 96
♣ 63	♣ A942
	♠ A76
	♥ Q98*4 This was 6
	♦ KJ previously - sorry
	♣ KQJ10

It may seem as if you have 9 tricks – 2 spades, 5 diamonds, 2 clubs, as long as you play 8S from dummy at trick one, to

SOLUTION TO AUGUST QUIZ (cont'd)

create entry to dummy, to cash the diamonds, incase of a 4:2 break. Then unblock the KJ♦, and play top clubs from hand. In reality, 4 tables made 3NT+1, 10 tables made 3NT contract and 4 tables went down. For those that went down, the declarers went up with the QS at trick one. Ran the clubs, and then hoped for the 3:3♦ break, which doesn't occur.

For defenders, some switched to heart J, after coming in with the A♣, using instinct, rather than gathering information. Others called for heart switch from west by discarding the S10 on third club play.

This is how the play went on many boards:

Trick 1: spade lead is won on table by QS
 Trick 2-3: Play the top clubs (some defenders delay winning the clubs, others take it immediately, assuming declarer can run the diamonds. Defenders ought to think carefully, before making their play here.
 Trick 4: East wins the A♣. West discards the ♠2 (At this point, no one knows the best line of defence. West's ♠ discard shows his length in spades, as well as possible useful cards in the other 2 suits, though is not a strong indication for the heart switch.

Trick 5: East returns the spade, which is won by declarer's A♠ (leading back partner's suit is usually a good move, especially if no strong signal to switch, or obvious suit to shift to. Defenders must assume declarer cannot run the diamonds)

Trick 6: Declarer plays remaining clubs, discarding last spade from dummy. This forces defenders to discard their winning tricks.

So at the half way point, declarer has 5 tricks in the bag, and the following cards:

♠ -
 ♥ 76
 ♦ AQ875
 ♣ -

♠ 8
 ♥ Q984
 ♦ KJ
 ♣ -

Some unblocked declarer's diamonds then exited spade, or the H4/9. Others played the heart first, or the spade. It is fair to say that the play from trick 7 onwards was vital to decide the fate of the contract. What would have been your choice? ♣

SEPTEMBER QUIZ

by LL Wong

This was the hand played in the Pesta Sukan Open Pairs 2007, at Bishan Club House on August 07. 26 pairs played the hand.

♠	xx
♥	AKQJx
♦	J109xx
♣	x
♠	Axx
♥	10xx
♦	AKQx
♣	AKx

After South opened with 2D*(multi- weak two in major or 20-22 balanced) or 2NT (20-22), what do you think the final contract it will be and how to bid it? ♣



The Bridge Guru contemplating the next quiz!

A Donkey Disaster in Batam

By Derek Maggs

Singapore sent 4 teams to the Kepri Governor Cup in Indonesia, where 24 other teams were vying for the Kepri Cup and Rp15m prize. This was probably the best showing, both in terms of the number of teams and the results achieved by Singapore. Two teams from Singapore (Grace Yeh and 'Internationals') qualified for the Finals, with FOS and KRCC just missing out.

The final was an all play all affair over 14 boards. By the time the final round came, the Internationals could afford to lose 20-10 (at most 22 imps) to qualify as Champions. The 1st board reduced that imps safety zone to just 7 after the following instructive board led to an early vulnerable donkey disaster.

♠ K8xx
♥ -
♦ AKJ10x
♣ J8xx
♠ Jxxxx
♥ AKxx
♦ -
♣ KQxx

The undistinguished auction proceeded as follows:

N	E	S	W
D@nk	Santje	Choo Wai	
1♦	P	1♠	P
2♠	P	4♦ ¹	P
4♥ ²	X	4NT ³	P
5♥ ⁴	P	6♠	X
All pass			

- 1) 4♦ shows a void (2NT would have been a Romex relay to make a game try + with a singleton)
- 2) 4♥ shows first or 2nd round control.
- 3) 4NT is RKCB
- 4) 5♥ assumed to be 2 key cards excluding the Ace ♦

The defence was accurate. Lead was A♣ followed by a small club. When East won the spade Ace, he gave partner a club ruff with the queen. The result was -500. As their team mates stopped in 4♠, we lost another -620, so 15 IMPs out.

The hand has lots of interesting points. Firstly, it is usually unsound to bid on to slam when partner shows a shortage in a suit where you hold significant values, as they are said to be wasted. The exception is an Ace opposite a singleton. Here both parties broke

this rule. However, from North's perspective, partner could hold something like:

- ♠ AJxxx
- ♥ Axxx
- ♦ -
- ♣ KQxx

Slam is still a fair prospect despite the wasted ♦ values. So taking into account the 2♠ 'underbid' (the Losing trick counters would bid 3♠), the 4♥ cue bid was reasonable.

From South's point of view, grand slam in either black suit may be in the picture if North holds the following:

- ♠ AK8x
- ♥ x
- ♦ J10xxx
- ♣ Axxx

After the 4♥ cue bid is doubled, there is still time to land safely. South could redouble to show 1st round control. North will then sign off, as there are A,AKJ known wasted values. Any further move after that is left to South. (Final lesson - always try to let partner make the last mistake!) ♣

THE DIRECTOR CAN BE WRONG

By Chan Yiu Lin

In the August newsletter, one article mentioned the Director is “always right”. However, this is not always the case. Occasionally the Appeal Committee needs to step in. Director’s rulings may involve judgement calls, looking at how the bidding or play may have been different under different circumstances. The Director will always try and make the most fair ruling, but if you disagree with the ruling, what can you do?

Firstly, raise your concern with the Director at the table. Listen to his/ her reasoning, and then explain your opinion. Sometimes, the Director may change the ruling. However, if you can’t accept this ruling, then you can appeal. Don’t argue with the Director – this will only raise your blood pressure. Instead, simply inform him/ her that you wish to appeal. This can be done any time between the time of ruling and 30 mins after the end of the game. At SCBA, you must fill out an Appeal form, with a full explanation of what happened. A panel of experienced players will form the Appeal Committee and review the Director’s ruling.

The Appeal Committee has the advantage of more time to analyse the situation. However, this does not mean you should appeal every ruling that is not in your favour. At SCBA, a \$50 deposit is required for appeals. This is returned if the Appeal Committee deems the appeal to

be genuine, but confiscated if the appeal has no basis.

Here is one example of an Appeal case occurring at the recent Pesta Sukan.

♠ J52		
♥ Q7654		
♦ AK		
♣ J43		
♠ Q873		♠ AK6
♥ A8		♥ K10
♦ J1093		♦ 42
♣ 876		♣ KQ10952
	♠ 1094	
	♥ J932	
	♦ Q8765	
	♣ A	


N	E	S	W
		P	P
1H	X	4H	P*
P	X	All pass	

*West hesitated for approximately 1 minute

The result was 4Hx-2. NS called the Director and informed him of West’s hesitation. The Director ruled that the contract would be changed to 4H-2, ie. Removing the double.

East appealed the ruling. After reviewing the hands and bidding, the Appeal Committee decided that the Director’s ruling would stand - the result remained at 4H-2. They agreed that unauthorised information had passed between East and West, due to West’s hesitation, and this could have contributed towards East’s choice to double.

Even though appeals are usually associated with less than pleasant situations, they don’t have to be unpleasant themselves. Remember, the Director and Appeal Committee just want to be as fair as they can to all parties involved! ♣



MORE MIXED VIEWS By ZY Lam, the sassy queen

Perhaps before you proceed to read my article with the thought in your mind – let’s see what this superstitious female has to complain about in this issue – notice that you do have a choice if you want to read this, and the context that you read this from, is decisive of what you make out of the contents.

Unfortunately, not everyone may read an article exactly as per the writer’s intentions. Looking back, perhaps that was the reason why I was taught in my Junior College General Paper class, to always state the objectives of your essay clearly in the first paragraph.

In any case, not that I want to dwell more into the issue, but just want to record an observation that in the recent Mixed Pairs (yes, I went to play again knowing that I may be imposed on the “rule” to sit South and West again, because of my assigned sex by birth). The “rule” this time is for females players to sit South and East (and males North and West). Well, at least I get to sit in my favourite directions half the time. However, when almost reaching to the end of the tournament, I noticed a particular table where

the female player was sitting West, and the male East.

Presumably the objective of having assigned seats for the two sexes is to limit the variance of the results (citing from the “Always Right” Tournament Director’s article in last issue of Bridging SCBA), I raised my hand to point this to the attention of this Director of the Mixed Pairs.

The Director acknowledged that he was aware of that, and explained that the female player has requested to sit West, so she can move in and out conveniently of the table, to get some other tasks done. Then he walked away before I could utter another word.

I was just thinking (mildly put so as not to exaggerate my amazement) - what sort of variance would that create, if out of 6 tables, one table has the two sexes sitting in opposite directions from the rest? If the initial objective was to limit variance (assuming men and women play bridge “differently” – and mind you, by “differently” we don’t mean men are better), it would be empirically interesting to see if the results

remain the same if you remove the odd pair that was sitting opposite directions from everyone else. And perhaps to see if the difference is statistically significant.

Another interesting observation that a bridge player pointed out, was that the question of whether men and women play bridge “differently” could only possibly be tested using two controlled groups, based on a large enough sample size – one with men and women assigned sitting directions, one without, and do a comparison with the results produced.

I opened my eyes wide with an “aha!”. That’s interesting... I really appreciate his objectivity and we laughed over the idea. Now I wonder, perhaps I should record my results over a period of time, sitting North, South, East or West to see if there is some statistical significance.
Haha. ♣



Pesta Sukan

Open Pairs, 3 August 2007 (26 pairs)

- 1st AK Heng & KT Liao
- 2nd Christopher Gregory & Rajendra Krishnan
- 3rd Kelvin Ng & Kristina Wahyu

Mixed Pairs, 4 August 2007 (12 pairs)

- 1st Chua Gang & Jane Choo
- 2nd Liu I Nan & Yoke Lan Foo
- 3rd Kelvin Ng & Chang Sui Sim

Open Teams, 4-5 August 2007 (22 pairs)

- 1st Bozetto – Chue Kah Loong, Fong Kien Hoong, Edwin Lau, Kelvin Ng, Tan Sock Ngin, Tan Wei Teck,
- 2nd The Bridge Bears - Kimiko Endo, Derek Maggs, Alan Sia, Wong Choo Wai
- 3rd X-Men – Chong Yeh Shen, Christopher Gregory, Rajendra Krishnan, CT Ng, Gerald Tan

Consolation

- 1st AK – Patrick Choy, Heng Aik Koan, Ke Jia, Li Feng, Liao Kuo Tang
- 2nd Pastel - Lilio Ho, David Law, Marvin Mamaril, Sandri Supardi, Sugiharto, Wu Tong

Snowball Jackpot Pairs #11

Winner: Greta Chai (Best 5 games average 60.65)

Fan prize: Gary Ang

Snowball Jackpot Pairs Series #12

Is from 20 August to 8 October 2007

Team Bozetto win the Pesta Open Team!



The Mixed Pairs Champions



Glory Gallery

Kepri Cup (Batam)

Singapore teams did well at the Kepri Governor Cup, held in Batam on 10-12 August.

International Team (consisting of Kimiko Endo, Derek Maggs, Alan Sia and Wong Choo Wai) came first in the Finals.

Grace Yeh-Singapore (consisting of Greta Chai, Jane Choo, Kelvin Ng, Ng Yong Hao and Grace Yeh) came fourth in the finals.

Friends of Sheep (consisting of Chua Gang, Poon Hua, Seet Choon Cheng, Wang Peiyi and Kristina Wahyu) came second in the Consolations.



Well done to all!

National Day Special Game



9 August 2007 (34 pairs)

1st	Derek Maggs & Chan Yiu Lin	NS
2nd	Chu Nyit & Kimiko Endo	NS
3rd	Gary Ang & Li Ding Yi	NS
1st	Chang Sui Sim & Alan Sia	EW
2nd	Lim Zhi Bang & Tham Weiche	EW
3rd	Beng Hawthornthwaite & Izmirev Teodor	EW



Glory Gallery

Master Point race (2006)

Apologies for the lateness in producing the full list of winners and runners up for each of the master point winners in 2006, but here they are!

Open Category

5672 Greta Chai
 4313 Foo Yoke Lan
 4281 Emily Hee
 3283 Jane Choo
 3122 Seet Choon Cheng
 3097 Loo Choon Chou
 3073 Poon Hua
 2258 Toh C. K.
 2202 Kelvin Ng
 2061 Rohini Arya

Non masters

848 Cheng Zehan (winner \$150)
 842 Ho Jun Feng (Jack)
 818 Ong Qunxiang
 579 Chen Weijun
 573 Lam Cheng Yan

Club masters

1049 Gilbert Tay (winner \$150)
 966 Grace Yeh
 928 Chiang Feng
 908 Sophia Yong
 899 Ang Jit Hang (Jackie)

Local masters

2026 Loh Yi Sing (Alex) (winner \$100)
 1746 Jason Chew
 1675 Tan Li Yu
 1479 Zhang Yukun
 1302 Gu Bangjuan

State masters

2202 Kelvin Ng (winner \$100)
 2038 Selene Tan
 1999 Tan Tian Xiong (Fabian)
 1710 Chang Sui Sim
 1560 Chia Khoo Beng Yang

National masters

2061 Rohini Arya
 1778 Soh Siew Luie
 1572 Marlies Mallal
 1560 Chia Chee Liong
 1546 Lau Yuen Huei (Edwin)

1/2 star masters

3097 Loo Choon Chou
 3073 Poon Hua
 1968 Tara Maniar
 1942 Lam Ze Ying
 1841 Wong Choo Wai

3/4/5 star masters

4313 Foo Yoke Lan
 3122 Seet Choon Cheng
 2051 Kimiko Endo
 1845 Liu I Nan
 1627 Sia Chong Meng (Alan)

Congratulations to all! The results were close. To those that have just missed winning, it's time to get out and play more, to be the 2007 winner!



What we all like to see: A full club house!

SEPT 2007 Events Calendar


Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
		All events are held at SCBA Clubhouse unless stated otherwise.			¹ <i>Singapore IMP Pairs</i> \$40 per pair (members), \$20 per students pair • 2.30– 11.00 pm	²
³	⁴	⁵	⁶	⁷	⁸ <i>21st Singapore Inter-Club Bridge League Open House #11</i> • 2.30 pm	⁹ <i>SGCC Bridge President's Cup</i> \$20 per guest SGCC Heliconia Room • 2.00pm
¹⁰	¹¹	¹²	¹³	¹⁴	¹⁵ <i>Matchpoint Teams Championships</i> \$80 per team, \$40 per students team •2.30-11pm	¹⁶
¹⁷	¹⁸	¹⁹	²⁰	²¹	²² <i>21st Singapore Inter-Club Bridge League Open House #12</i> Bukit Timah CC • 2.30 pm	²³
²⁴	²⁵	²⁶	²⁷	²⁸	²⁹	³⁰

OCTOBER 2007 Events Calendar

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
<p>All events are held at SCBA Clubhouse unless stated otherwise.</p> <p>1</p>	2	3	4	<p><i>PABF Simultaneous Pairs</i> \$8 per member, \$11 per guest, \$5 per student ▪ 7.30pm</p> <p>5</p>	<p><i>21st Singapore Inter-Club Bridge League Open House #13</i> Tanglin Club • 2.30 pm</p> <p>6</p>	7
8	9	10	11	12	<p><i>Hari Raya Puasa Special Game</i> \$5 per member, \$7 per guest, \$2 per student</p> <p>13</p>	14
15	16	17	18	19	<p><i>Double '8' Senior Pairs</i> \$20 per member, \$25 per guest ▪ 2.30-11pm</p> <p>20</p>	21
22	23	24	25	26	<p><i>21st Singapore Inter-Club Bridge League Open House #14</i> • 2.30 pm</p> <p>27</p>	28
29	30	31				

Event _____ Board No. _____ Dealer _____ Vul: _____

The Hand



The Play

The Bidding

West	North	East	South
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Alerted bids

- 1)
- 2)
- 3)
- 4)
- 5)
- 6)

Name: _____

Contact: _____

